

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0112428 DATE: <u>2/7/14</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:30</u> DEPAR	T: <u>3:30</u>
FACILITY NAME: SUPERMIX-EAST BROWARD	
FACILITY LOCATION: 3575 SW 49TH WAY	
DAVIE 33314-2123	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: FRANK PEREZ* Email: frank@supermix.com CONTACT NAME: FRANK PEREZ* Email: frank@supermix.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/20/2012 / 9/20/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)262-3 Mobile: (305)262-3 Mobile: (305)525-2	2282 3250
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	IPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one
Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still FRANK PEREZ*?	- 🛚 Yes 🗀No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still FRANK PEREZ*?	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section

1 –CCB Plant #5 West-N silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse,800 bbl subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 1/18/13 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? X Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles? 🖂 Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	NO

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant #5 West-W silo(cement)w/dustcollector,55T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 1/18/2014 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
FART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Ruie 02-270.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Fries, and Farus		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by: 	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		_ <u></u>
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	- X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	∇ v	□ Na
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes Yes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	∐ Yes	∐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant #5 West-E silo(cementsupplmnt)w/dustcollector,55T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 1/18/2014 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	□ No
control emissions?	No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	No No No

Emissions Unit Section
4 –CCB Plant #4 East-N silo(cementsupplement)w/baghouse,800 bbl subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 1/18/2014 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		ļ
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		ļ
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		ļ
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
 Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 		☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	· 🛚 Yes	☐ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section
6 –CCB Plant #4 East-S silo(cement supplement)w/baghouse, 55T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 1/18/2014 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta \text{N/A} \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
THE WAY TO SEE THAT IN COLUMN TO A COLUMN		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🖂 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	Z 103	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	✓ V.	□ Na
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	🔀 Yes	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Xes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	- ∐ Yes - □ Yes	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 7 –CCB Plant #4 East-weigh hopr/trckloadoutw/cent.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 1/18/2014 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No□ No□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 8 –CCB Plant #5 West-weigh hopr/trk loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 1/18/2014 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the formula of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	ollowing: ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes	 No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions not being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes ✓	NoNoNoNoNoNo

Facility Section (continued)

	<u> </u>		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propag	e/yr ption	□ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🖂 Yes	☐ No
2	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	□ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛭 Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (I		g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6))]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit	air construction or air operation permit in that separate permit:	mit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it	was		∐ No
co-located at the permitted facility?			∐ No □ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or			
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation	n of the facility or any emissions uni	tive not	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	n of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility?	tive not its or - Yes	question) No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. 	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst 	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different?	tive not its or - Yes	No No No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different?	tive not its or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes In Yes Yes In	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admined. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub-	tive not its or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes In Yes Yes In	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? tantially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub-	tive not its or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes In Yes Yes In	No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days and New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	an of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub-	tive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo